1	2
Madhya Pradesh	1,366.47
Maharashtra	4,220.79
Manipur	53.56
Meghalaya	138.22
Mizoram	53.83
Nagaland	269.52
Orissa	1,183.72
Punjab	197.20
Rajasthan	5,539.20
Tamil Nadu	2,730.88
Tripura	204.91
Uttar Pradesh	2,277.34
Uttarakhand	586.39
West Bengal	2,485.90
TOTAL :	36,900.53

Black-listed NGOs under CAPART

†1185. SHRI PYARELAL KHANDELWAL: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some of the non-Governmental organizations functioning under CAPART have been black-listed;

(b) if so, the details of such organizations;

(c) the kind of irregularities against which these have been charge-sheeted; and

(d) the details of the action taken against these organizations?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. There are 769 Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) blacklisted as on date. The details are given in the Statement (*See* below). Following are the irregularities against which the concerned agencies have been charge-sheeted:-

(i) The NGO has received funds from more than one source or applied for receiving funds, either completely or partially from any other governmental/non-governmental, international or any other agency, for the same project covering the same beneficiaries.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(ii) Principal office bearers involved in criminal conduct/misappropriation of public funds.

(iii) For submission of falsified accounts/ documents.

(iv) For not accomplishing the stipulated work even after giving sufficient opportunities.

(v) Refusal to hand over the assets created/acquired under the project to the community beneficiaries.

(vi) Failure to return the savings/unspent balance/refundable grant available/ extended under the project.

(vii) Principal office bearers are serving government servants and the organization conceals the facts.

(viii) More than two members of the executive/governing/managing body of the organization are relatives/family members or two from these are co-signatory in bank account operations and the VO conceals the facts.

(ix) VOs blacklisted by the other governmental organizations etc.

(x) Non-submission of completion documents for settlement of accounts and closure of file.

(d) 10 cases have been referred to CBI for investigation. FIRs against 129 NGOs have been lodged in the concerned police station and an amount of Rs. 28.37 lakhs has been recovered from 31 NGOs so far. FIRs are being filed against the other blacklisted organizations for recovery of misutilised grants.

Statement

No. of Black Listed NGOs, State-wise, as on 14th August, 2007;

1	2
Andhra Pradesh	178
Arunachal Pradesh	01
Assam	01
Bihar	123
Chhattishgarh	01
Delhi	21
Gujarat	14
Haryana	20
Himachal Pradesh	06
Jammu & Kashmir	04
Jharkhand	08
Karnataka	72
Kerala	33
Madhya Pradesh	14

1	2
Maharashtra	24
Manipur	11
Megalaya	01
Mizoram	04
Nagaland	10
Orissa	20
Pondicherry	02
Rajasthan	33
Tamil Nadu	75
Uttar Pradesh	70
Uttaranchal	01
West Bengal	22
TOTAL :	769

Implementation of anomalies in IAY in A.P.

1186. SHRI C. RAMACHANDRAIAH: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have noticed anomalies in the implementation of the Indira Awas Yojana in Andhra Pradesh;

(b) if so, whether any measures are being taken to initiate action against the officials who were working hand-in-glove with contractors of the housing scheme and were trying to benefit them;

(c) if so, how many officials responsible for the irregularities were brought to book and how many contractors have been black listed; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) to (d) Question does not arise.

Time and motion studies for NREGA

1187. SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether all the States have conducted time and motion studies as proposed in the Central guidelines of National Rural Employment Guarantee Act;

(b) if so, the State-wise details thereof; and

(c) steps being taken by the Centre and the respective States in this regard?